Dalton **Transactions**

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2011, **40**, 5302

Two octacyanometallate-based Ni(II)W(V) bimetallic assemblies with metamagnetism†‡

Ai-Hua Yuan,**^a* **Su-Yan Qian,***^a* **Wen-Yan Liu,***^a* **Hu Zhou***^a* **and You Song****^b*

Received 15th December 2010, Accepted 15th March 2011 **DOI: 10.1039/c0dt01773f**

Reactions of the precursors [Ni(macrocyclic ligand)]²⁺ with $[W(CN)_8]$ ³⁻ afford two

octacyanotungstate-based assemblies, $(H_2L^1)_{0.5}[Ni(L^1)][W(CN)_8]$ ·2DMF·H₂O (L^1 =

5,7,7,12,14,14-hexamethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane) (1) and $[Ni(L^2)]_3[W(CN)_8]_2.4H_2O (L^2 =$

3,10-dipropyl-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane) (**2**). Single crystal X-ray diffraction shows that **1** consists of anionic one-dimensional (1D) linear chains, while **2** is built of 2D graphite-like layers with (6, 3) topology. Magnetic studies reveal that both complexes exhibit metamagnetic behavior from the

spin-canted antiferromagnet to the ferromagnet induced by field.

Introduction

In the field of molecule-based magnets, cyano-bridged bimetal assemblies have been aggressively studied to demonstrate their intriguing topologies**¹** and novel functionalities.**2–6** More recently, a considerable effort on crystal engineering has been devoted to the design and construction of octacyanometallate $[M(CN)_8]^{3-/4-}$ $(M = Mo, W)$ -based magnets.⁷ $[M(CN)_8]^{3-/4-}$ as a versatile class of building blocks can adopt three different spatial configurations depending on their chemical environments, such as the surrounding ligands. Because the diffuse *d* orbitals on 4*d* and 5*d* transition metals enhance the supra-exchange interaction through coordinating ligands, the combination of $[M(CN)_8]$ ³⁻ as a carrier of unpaired spin $(d¹)$ with transition-metal cations has produced various dimensional molecular structures spanning from zerodimensional (0D) discrete molecules, 1D chains, 2D layers, to 3D frameworks, and further displayed relatively high Curie temperatures,**⁸** photo-induced magnetism**⁹** and single-molecule magnetism.**¹⁰**

In search of new synthetic strategies for 3*d*-4*d*/5*d* cyanobridged assemblies, we have carried out rationally the reactions of the octacyanometallates with octahedral transitionmetal cations in the presence of tetradentate macrocyclic ligands,

a School of Biological and Chemical Engineering, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang, 212003, China. E-mail: aihuayuan@ 163.com

‡ This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK2010343), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51072072), and the Major State Basic Research Development Program (No. 2011CB808704).

in which four equatorial positions are occupied by four N atoms from macrocycles and two axial sites are reserved for incoming bridging ligands.**¹¹** We have attempted to seek the correlation between adjusted structural parameters and resulting magnetic characters by varying pendant groups on macrocyclic ligands. In the present contribution, we described the syntheses, crystal structures and magnetic properties of 1D complex $(H_2L^1)_{0.5}$ [Ni(L¹)][W(CN)₈]·2DMF·H₂O (L¹ = 5,7,7,12,14,14hexamethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane) (**1**) with linear chain and $[Ni(L^2)]_3[W(CN)_8]_2.4H_2O$ (L² = 3,10-dipropyl-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane) (**2**) with 2D graphite-like layer, in which tetradentate macrocyclic ligands with diverse pendant groups were chelated. To the best of our knowledge, $1D^{12}$ and $2D^{13}$ octacyanometallate-based Ni^{II}-M^V (M = Mo, W) assemblies characterized structurally are rare to date.

Experimental

Physical measurements

C, H, N elemental analyses were performed with a Perkin– Elmer 240C elemental analyzer. All of the magnetization data were recorded on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL7 SQUID magnetometer. The molar magnetic susceptibilities were corrected for the diamagnetism estimated from Pascal's tables**¹⁴** and for the sample holder by a previous calibration.

Syntheses

Starting materials. All of the chemicals were of analytical grade and were used without further purification. The precursors $[Ni(L^1)](ClO_4)_2$,¹⁵ $[Ni(L^2)](ClO_4)_2$ ¹⁶ and $[HN(n-1)]$ C_4H_9 ₃]₃[W(CN)₈]·H₂O¹⁷ were prepared according to the published procedures.

Caution! Perchlorate salts of metal complexes with organic ligands are potentially explosive and should be handled in

b State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Nanjing National Laboratory of Microstructures, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, China. E-mail: yousong@nju.edu.cn

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Table S1–S2, Fig. S1–S6. CCDC reference numbers 759976 (**1**) and 759977 (**2**). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c0dt01773f

small quantities with great care. In addition, the reactions must be carried out under low light conditions because $[W(CN)_8]$ ³⁻ precursor is readily photo-reduced to $[W(CN)_8]^+$.

(H2L1)0.5[Ni(L1)][W(CN)8]·2DMF·H2O (1)

Single crystals of **1** were prepared at room temperature in the dark by slow diffusion of a DMF solution (2 mL) containing $\left[\text{Ni}(L^1)\right]$ (ClO₄)₂ (0.075 mmol) into a DMF solution (20 mL) of $[HN(n-C_4H_9)_3]_3[W(CN)_8]H_2O$ (0.05 mmol). After about three weeks, block-shaped yellow crystals were obtained. Elemental analysis: Calcd for $C_{38}H_{71}N_{16}NiO_3W$: C 43.78, H 6.86, N 21.49%. Found: C 43.25, H 7.01, N 21.66%.

$[Ni(L^2)]_3[W(CN)_8]_2 \cdot 4H_2O(2)$

The same synthesis procedure as **1** was used to prepare **2**, substituting $\text{[Ni(L²)](ClO}_4)_2$ for $\text{[Ni(L¹)](ClO}_4)_2$. After about three months, block-shaped yellow crystals were obtained. Elemental analysis: Calcd for $C_{55}H_{109}N_{34}Ni_3O_4W_2$: C 35.62, H 5.92, N 25.68%. Found: C 35.51, H 5.70, N 25.97%.

X-ray data collection and structure determination

Single crystal X-ray diffraction data for **1** and **2** were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α (λ = 0.71073 Å) radiation. Diffraction data analysis and reduction were performed within *SMART* and *SAINT*+.**¹⁸** Correction for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption effects were performed within *SADABS*. **¹⁹** Structures were solved using Patterson method within *SHELXL*-*97* and refined using *SHELXL*-*97*. **²⁰** All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. All H atoms of macrocyclic ligands (L^1 and L^2) were calculated at idealized positions (C–H = 0.97 or 0.96 Å, N–H = 0.91 or 0.90 Å, and O–H = 0.96 Å) and included in the refinement in a riding mode with U_{iso} for H assigned as 1.2 or 1.5 times U_{eq} of the attached atoms. The H atoms of water molecules and DMF molecules were located from difference maps and refined as riding (O–H = 0.85 Å), with $U_{\text{iso}}(H) = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}}(O)$. The crystallographic data and experimental details for structural analyses of **1** and **2** are summarized in Table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles for **1** and **2** are listed in Table S1† and Table S2, respectively.

Results and discussion

Single crystal X-ray structures

Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Fig. 1) reveals that the structure of 1 consists of anionic $\{[\mathrm{Ni^{II}}(L^1)][\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{V}}(CN)_8]\}$ $1\mathrm{D}$ chains, isolated counter cation $[H_2L^1]^2$ ⁺, crystallized DMF and water molecules. The W center is coordinated by two bridged and six terminal CN groups in a distorted square antiprism (SAPR, D_{4d}) with metric parameters typical for the $[W^v(CN)₈]$ ³-based bimetallic complexes.**11a,12,21** The average W–C and C–N distances are 2.169 and 1.132 Å, respectively, while the M–C–N bond angles are nearly linear with a maximum deviation from linearity of 5.7*◦*. The two Ni centers (Ni(1) and Ni(2)) are both in an axially elongated octahedral geometry, in which four nitrogen atoms from $L¹$ ligand are located at the equatorial positions with

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement of X-ray data collection for **1** and **2**

	1	$\mathbf{2}$
Formula	$C_{38}H_{71}N_{16}NiO_3W$	$C_{55}H_{109}N_{34}Ni_3O_4W_2$
Mr/g mol ⁻¹	1042.67	1854.59
Crystal system	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P\bar{1}$	P_{1}/n
$a/\text{\AA}$	9.2611(12)	9.0767(9)
b/Å	17.378(2)	27.661(3)
c/\AA	18.191(3)	16.8542(17)
α (°)	116.1960(10)	90
β (°)	91.363(2)	92.062(2)
	104.822(3)	90
γ (°) V/A ³	2507.8(6)	4228.9(8)
Z	2	\overline{c}
D_{Calcd} /g cm ⁻³	1.381	1.456
μ /mm ⁻¹	2.717	3.424
Total, unique	19568, 9729	32819, 8286
Observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	8162	6180
GOF on F^2	1.078	1.028
$R_1, wR_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0463, 0.1002	0.0490, 0.0992
R_1 , w R_2 (all data)	0.0538, 0.1015	0.0660, 0.1023

Fig. 1 *ORTEP* diagram of **1**, showing the 30% probability thermal motion ellipsoid. Hydrogen atoms, isolated H_2L^1 , crystallized water and DMF molecules have been omitted for clarity.

an average $Ni-N_{eq}$ distance of 2.089 Å, while the axis sites are occupied by two nitrogen atoms from bridging cyanide groups of $[\text{W(CN)}_8]^3$ with the mean Ni–N distance of 2.126 Å. The Ni–N–C bridging units are strongly bent in opposition to the case of the almost linear W–C–N units. These metric parameters $[Ni(L^1)]^{2+}$ are reminiscent of those in related complexes containing ligand $L^{1.11c,11e,22}$ As a result, $[Ni(L^1)]^{2+}$ and $[W(CN)_8]^{3-}$ moieties are linked in an alternating fashion to form an anionic 1D infinite chain (Fig. 2). The intrachain distances between Ni and W are *ca*. 10.11 and 10.61 Å, respectively, while the intrachain $W \cdots W$ distance is *ca.* 9.26 Å. The neighboring $\{Ni^I W^V\}$ chains weakly interact with isolated [NiL¹]²⁺ through hydrogen bonding, leading to the formation of a 2D supramolecular layer.

Fig. 2 View of the structure of **1**, showing an anionic 1D chain. Hydrogen atoms, isolated $[H_2L^1]^{2+}$, crystallized DMF and water molecules have been omitted for clarity.

The structure of **2** is built of neutral 2D graphite-like layers consisting of W and Ni centers joined by cyano bridges, and crystallized DMF and water molecules located within the layer. It should be mentioned here that the structures are rather different between **1** and **2**, although they were prepared under similar conditions. The noticeable structural disparity may be attributed to the diverse pendant groups on the macrocyclic ligands employed, which cause different steric congestion to the coordination sphere around the metal center. The coordination geometries (Fig. 3) of Ni and W centers are similar to those found in **1**. In the repeating fragment $\{Ni, W_2\}_n$, the W atom is coordinated by three bridged and five terminal CN groups with W–C distances ranging from 2.117(7) to 2.176(5) \AA in a distorted square antiprism. The two Ni atoms are both in an axially elongated octahedral geometry, in which the equatorial sites are occupied by four nitrogen atoms from L^2 ligand, and the apical positions are located by two nitrogen atoms from two bridging CN groups. As displayed in Fig. 4, two-connected $[Ni(L^2)(\mu \text{CN}_2$]²⁺ and three-connected [W(CN)₅(μ -CN)₃]³⁻ units are linked *via* cyanides in the *bc* plane, resulting in the formation of 2D graphite-like structure with (6, 3) topology (Fig. 4), in which a 12 metal 36-atom irregular hexagon with the W atoms in the corners and –CN–Ni–NC- linkages on the edges, $Ni₆W₆(CN)₁₂$, is the basic building unit. The edge distance between W atoms in a hexagon is *ca.* 10.68 Å, while the separation between adjacent layers is *ca*. 9.08 Å (W \cdots W distance). The 2D layered structure of 2 has also been observed in other octacyanometallate-based bimetallic assemblies.**11a,23**

Fig. 3 *ORTEP* diagram of **2**, showing the 30% probability thermal motion ellipsoid. Hydrogen atoms, crystallized DMF and water molecules have been omitted for clarity.

Magnetic properties

 $(\mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{L}^1)_{0.5} [\textbf{Ni}(\mathbf{L}^1)] [\textbf{W}(\mathbf{CN})_8] \cdot \mathbf{2DMF} \cdot \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{O}$ (1). The temperaturedependent molar magnetic susceptibilities of **1** were measured in an applied field of 2 kOe (Fig. 5). The $\chi_M T$ value of 1.36 cm³ mol⁻¹ K at 300 K is consistent with the spin-only one (1.375 cm^3) mol⁻¹ K) expected for one Ni(II) ($S_{\text{Ni}} = 1$) and one W(v) ($S_{\text{W}} =$ $1/2$) center assuming $g = 2$. As the temperature is lowered, the $\chi_M T$ value monotonically increases and then increases abruptly up to a maximum value of $6.07 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ K at 6 K, followed

Fig. 4 View of the 2D graphite-like structure with (6, 3) topology of **2**.

Fig. 5 Temperature dependence of $\chi_M T$ for 1 measured at 2 kOe. Inset: Field dependence of the magnetization performed at 1.8 K for **1**. The Brillouin curve for the independent one $Ni(II)$ and one $W(V)$ ions is given at 1.8 K.

by a drop to 2.62 at 1.8 K. The magnetic susceptibility above 60 K obeys the Curie–Weiss law with a positive Weiss constant, θ , of +24.45 K (Fig. S1†), which indicates that the ferromagnetic coupling between metal ions dominates the magnetic properties of 1. The decrease of $\chi_M T$ below 6 K results from the saturation of the χ_{M} value, interchain antiferromagnetic interaction and/or the zero-field splitting effect.

The field dependence of the magnetization measured at 1.8 K is shown in Fig. 5 (inset). The magnetization increases very rapidly in low field and almost reaches a saturation of magnetization above *ca*. 50 kOe. The magnetization $M_s = 2.92$ $N\mu_B$ in 70 kOe is in agreement with the ferromagnetic Ni^{II}W^V system based on 3 $N\mu_B$ with $S = 3/2$. The experimental curve at 1.8 K is slightly higher than that derived from the Brillouin function in low fields, confirming further ferromagnetic coupling between the adjacent Ni(II) and W(V) ions.

As shown in Fig. 6, the variable-field magnetization curve reveals an S-shape, indicating that **1** is a metamagnet with a critical field of ± 1.5 kOe. Both sides of ± 1.5 kOe, two regions with loops can be observed. Below ±1.5 kOe, the loop with remnant magnetization of 0.039 $N\mu_\text{B}$ and coercive field of ± 150 Oe indicates

Fig. 6 Field-dependent magnetization and hysteretic loops at 1.8 K for **1**.

1 is a spin-canted antiferromagnet. In the range of $\pm(1.5-6)$ kOe the loop is ascribed to the field-induced ferromagnet rather than the decoupled phenomenon by field. So, **1** is a metamagnet from spin-canted antiferromagnet to ferromagnet induced by field.

The *M vs. T* curves of **1** measured at 1.0 and 1.5 kOe show a peak at *ca*. 6 K (Fig. 7), implying a long-range antiferromagnetic ordering below this point, due to the antiferromagnetic interaction between the chains according to the structure of **1**. The temperature dependence of the ac susceptibility for its in-phase component (χ') , and out-of-phase component (χ'') performed in a zero applied direct current (*dc*) field at 10 Hz (Fig. S2†) show peaks at 5.0 and 4.0 K, respectively, which indicates the presence of a long-range ferromagnetic ordering. However, the small values of $\chi^{\prime\prime}$ can be attributed to the small net spin per mole. The ac susceptibility of **1** was measured in the frequency range 1–1.5 kHz at 1.8–20 K to determine that it is a Single-Chain Magnet (SCM) or not, but no frequency-dependent signal was observed (Fig. S3†).

Fig. 7 Temperature dependence of *M* in an applied field of 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 kOe for **1**.

[Ni(L2)]3[W(CN)8]2·4H2O (2). The ferromagnetic behavior and metamagnetism are also observed in 2. The $\chi_M T$ value per $Ni₃W₂$ unit at 300 K (3.61 cm³ mol⁻¹ K) is close to the expected value (3.75 cm³ mol⁻¹ K) for magnetically isolated three Ni(II) ions and two $W(V)$ ions (Fig. 8). Upon cooling, the changing trend in $\chi_M T$ vs. T curve is similar to that of 1. The plot of $1/\chi_M$ *vs T* above 30 K obeys the Curie–Weiss law with a Weiss constant θ = +20.81 K (Fig. S4[†]), which also indicates the presence of the ferromagnetic coupling between the adjacent $Ni(II)-W(V)$ magnetic centers within the layer. As shown in Fig. 8 (inset), the saturation magnetization (7.41 $N\mu_B$) in 70 kOe is close to the expected $S = 4$ value of 8 $N\mu_B$ for the Ni₃W₂ system.

Fig. 8 Temperature dependence of $\chi_M T$ for 2 measured at 2 kOe. Inset: Field dependence of the magnetization performed at 1.8 K for **2**. The Brillouin curve for the independent three $Ni(II)$ and two $W(V)$ ions is given at 1.8 K.

Below 15 kOe, the observation of peaks at *ca*. 7 K in *M vs. T* plots (Fig. 9) is a signature of the existence of the long-range antiferromagnetic ordering, while the lack of the peak at $H \geq$ 15 kOe implies a field-induced magnetic phase transition from antiferromagnet to ferromagnet. The peak at 8.5 for χ' indicates the presence of a long-range ferromagnetic ordering and no peak for $\chi^{\prime\prime}$ was observed (Fig. S5†).

Fig. 9 Temperature dependence of *M* in an applied field of 8, 10, 12, 15, and 20 kOe for **2**.

Conclusions

Two cyano-bridged bimetallic $Ni^H–W^V$ complexes chelated by tetradentate macrocyclic ligands with various pendant groups have been prepared and characterized. Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis shows that **1** consists of anionic 1D chains linked by $[Ni(L¹)]²⁺$ and $[W(CN)₈]³⁻$ moieties in an alternating fashion, while **2** is built of neutral 2D graphite-like structure with (6, 3) topology, in which 12-metal 36-atom hexagon, $Ni_6W_6(CN)_{12}$, is the basic building unit. Magnetic studies reveal that both complexes exhibit a metamagnetic behavior from the spin-canted antiferromagnet to the ferromagnet induced by field.

References

- 1 (*a*) A.-H. Yuan, R.-Q. Lu, H. Zhou, Y.-Y. Chen and Y.-Z. Li, *CrystEngComm*, 2010, **12**, 1382; (*b*) J. Wang, Z.-C. Zhang, H.-S. Wang, L.-C. Kang, H.-B. Zhou, Y. Song and X.-Z. You, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 3101; (*c*) Z.-X. Wang, X.-F. Shen, J. Wang, P. Zhang, Y.-Z. Li, E. N. Nfor, Y. Song, S. Ohkoshi, K. Hashimoto and X.-Z. You, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 3287.
- 2 (*a*) S. Ferlay, T. Mallah, R. Ouahes, P. Viellet and M. Verdaguer, *Nature*, 1995, **378**, 701; (*b*) W. R. Entley and G. S. Girolami, *Science*, 1995, **268**, 397.
- 3 (*a*) G. Agusti, S. Cobo, A. B. Gaspar, G. Molnar, N. O. Moussa, P. A. Szilagyi, V. Palfi, C. Vieu, M. C. Munoz, J. A. Real and A. Bousseksou, *Chem.Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 6721; (*b*) O. Sato, T. Iyoda, A. Fujishima and K. Hashimoto, *Science*, 1996, **272**, 704; (*c*) O. Sato, T. Iyoda, A. Fujishima and K Hashimoto, *Science*, 1996, **271**, 49.
- 4 (*a*) J. Larionova, Y. Guari, C. Blanc, P. Dieudonne, A. Tokarev and C. Guerin, *Langmuir*, 2009, **25**, 1138; (*b*) A. P. Baioni, M. Vidotti, P. A. Fiorito, E. A. Ponzio and S. I. C. de Torresi, *Langmuir*, 2007, **23**, 6796.
- 5 (*a*) A.-H. Yuan, C.-X. Chu, H. Zhou, P. Yuan, K.-K. Liu, L. Li, Q.-F. Zhang, X. Chen and Y.-Z. Li, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 866; (*b*) J. T. Culp, M. R. Smith, E. Bittner and B. Bockrath, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 12427.
- 6 (*a*) A. E. Phillips, G. J. Halder, K. W. Chapman, A. L. Goodwin and C. J. Kepert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 10; (*b*) A. L. Goodwin, M. Calleja, M. J. Conterio, M. T. Dove, J. S. O. Evans, D. A. Keen, L. Peters and M. G. Tucker, *Science*, 2008, **319**, 794.
- 7 See for example: (*a*) B. Sieklucka, R. Podgajny, T. Korzeniak, B. Nowicka, D. Pinkowicz and M. Kozieł, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 305– 326; (*b*) P. Przychodzen, T. Korzeniak, R. Podgajny and B. Sieklucka, ´ *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **250**, 2234; (*c*) B. Sieklucka, R. Podgajny, P. Przychodzeń and T. Korzeniak, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2005, 249, 2203; (d) J. Larionova, S. Willemin, B. Donnadieu, B. Henner, C. Guérin, B. Gillon and A. Goujon, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2004, **65**, 677; (*e*) B. Sieklucka, R. Podgajny, P. Przychodzeń and R. Kania, C. R. Chim., 2002, **5**, 639.
- 8 (*a*) K. Tomono, Y. Tsunobuchi, K. Nakabayashi and S. Ohkoshi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 1298; (*b*) W. Kosaka, K. Imoto, Y. Tsunobuchi and S. Ohkoshi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 4604; (*c*) D. Pinkowicz, R. Podgajny, M. Bałanda, M. Makarewicz, B. Gaweł, W. Łasocha and B. Sieklucka, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 9745; (*d*) J. M. Herrera, P. Franz, R. Podgajny, M. Pilkington, M. Biner, S. Decurtins, H. Stoeckli-Evans, A. Neels, R. Garde, Y. Dromzée, M. Julve, B. Sieclucka, K. Hashimoto, S. Okhoshi and M. Verdaguer, *C. R. Chim.*, 2008, **11**, 1192; (*e*) W. Kosaka, K. Hashimoto and S. Ohkoshi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2008, **81**, 992; (*f*) R. Podgajny, D. Pinkowicz, T. Korzeniak,W. Nitek, M. Rams and B. Sieklucka,*Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 10416; (*g*) S. Ohkoshi, Y. Tsunobuchi, H. Takahashi, T. Hozumi, M. Shiro and K. Hashimoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 3084; (*h*) H. Higashikawa, K. Okuda, J. Kishine, N. Masuhara and K. Inoue, *Chem. Lett.*, 2007, **36**, 1022; (*i*) J. R. Withers, D.-F. Li, J. Triplet, C. Ruschman, S. Parkin, G.-B. Wang, G. T. Yee and S. M. Holmes, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 4307.
- 9 (*a*) M. Arrio, J. Long, C. C. dit Moulin, A. Bachschmidt, V. Marvaud, A. Rogalev, C. Mathoniere, F. Wilhelm and P. Sainctavit, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 2010, **C114**, 593; (*b*) H.-H. Zhao, M. Shatruk, A. V. Prosvirin and K. R. Dunbar, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 6573; (*c*) S. Ohkoshi, S. Ikeda, T. Hozumi, T. Kashiwagi and K. Hashimoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 5320; (*d*) C. Mathoniere, R. Podgajny, P. Guionneau, C. Labrugere ` and B. Sieklucka, *Chem. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 442; (*e*) T. Hozumi, K. Hashimoto and S. Ohkoshi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 3864; (*f*) J. M. Herrera, V. Marvaud, M. Verdaguer, J. Marrot, M. Kalisz and C. Mathoniere, ` *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 5468; (*g*) Y. Arimoto, S. Ohkoshi, Z.-J. Zhong, H. Seino, Y. Mizobe and K. Hashimoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 9240.
- 10 (*a*) M. G. Hilfiger, H.-H. Zhao, A. Prosvirin, W. Wernsdorfer and K. R. Dunbar, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 5155; (*b*) J. H. Lim, J. H. Yoon, H. C. Kim and C. S. Hong, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 7424; (*c*) Y. Song, P. Zhang, X.-M. Ren, X.-F. Shen, Y.-Z. Li and X.-Z. You, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 3708.
- 11 (*a*) A.-H. Yuan, W.-Y. Liu, H. Zhou, Y.-Y. Chen and X.-P. Shen, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2009, **919**, 356; (*b*) A.-H. Yuan, W.-Y. Liu, H. Zhou and S.-Y. Qian, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 2009, **62**, 3592; (*c*) H. Zhou, Y.-Y. Chen, A.-H. Yuan and X.-P. Shen, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2008, **11**, 363; (*d*) H. Zhou, Y.-Y. Chen, W.-Y. Liu and A.-H. Yuan, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online*, 2008, **64**, m1528; (*e*) H. Zhou, A.-H. Yuan, X.-P. Shen, Y.-Y. Chen, D. J. Price and C. J. Kepert, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2007, **10**, 940; (*f*) Y.-Y. Chen, H. Zhou, X.-P. Shen, H.-F. Lu and A.-H. Yuan, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2007, **839**, 64; (*g*) A.-H. Yuan, H. Zhou, Y.-Y. Chen and X.-P. Shen, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2007, **826**, 165.
- 12 J. R. Withers, D.-F. Li, J. Triplet, C. Ruschman, S. Parkin, G.-B. Wang, G. T. Yee and S. M. Holmes, *Polyhedron*, 2007, **26**, 2353.
- 13 B. Nowicka, M. Rams, K. Stadnicka and B. Sieklucka, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 8123.
- 14 O. Kahn, *Molecular Magnetism*, VCH Publisher, New York, 1993.
- 15 N. F. Curtis, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1964, 2644.
- 16 S. K. Jung, S. G. Kang and M. P. Suh, *Bull. Kor. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **10**, 362.
- 17 L. D. C. Bok, J. G. Leipoldt and S. S. Basson, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1975, **415**, 81.
- 18 *SMART, SAINT and XPREP. Area detector and data integration and reduction software*, Bruker Analytical Instruments Inc., Madison, WI, 1995.
- 19 G. M. Sheldrick, *SADABS. Empirical adsorption correction program for area detector data*, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1996.
- 20 *SHELXL97. Program for crystal structural solution and refinement*, Bruker Analytical Instruments Inc., Madison, WI, 1997.
- 21 (*a*) H. Zhou, A.-H. Yuan, S.-Y. Qian, Y. Song and G.-W. Diao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 5971; (*b*) J. Wang, Y.-L. Xu, H.-B. Zhou, H.-S. Wang, X.-J. Song, Y. Song and X.-Z. You, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 3489; (*c*) Z.- X. Wang, B.-L. Liu, J. Wang and Y. Song, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2009, **12**, 1179; (*d*) H.-H. Zhao, M. Shatruk, A. V. Prosvirin and K. R. Dunbar, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 6573; (*e*) P. Przychodzen, R. Pełka, ´ K. Lewiński, J. Supel, M. Rams, K. Tomala and B. Sieklucka, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 8924; (*f*) J. H. Yoon, J. H. Lim, S. W. Choi, H. C. Kim and C. S. Hong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 1529; (*g*) J. H. Lim, J. S. Kang, H. C. Kim, E. K. Koh and C. S. Hong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 7821; (*h*) Y. S. You, J. H. Yoon, J. H. Lim, H. C. Kim and C. S. Hong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 7063; (*i*) Y. Song, S. Ohkoshi, Y. Arimoto, H. Seino, Y. Mizobe and K. Hashimoto, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 1848; (*j*) J. M. Herrera, A. Bleuzen, Y. Dromzée, M. Julve, F. Lloret and M. Verdaguer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 7052.
- 22 (*a*) A.-H. Yuan, X.-P. Shen, H. Zhou and L.-D. Lu, *Acta Chim. Sinica*, 2005, **63**, 1795; (*b*) E. Colacio, J. M. Dom´ınguez-Vera, F. Lloret, A. Rodr´ıguez and H. Stoeckli-Evans, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 6962; (*c*) E. Colacio, M. Ghazi, H. Stoeckli-Evans, F. Lloret, J. M. Moreno and C. Pérez, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, 40, 4876.
- 23 (*a*) Y. Wang, T.-W. Wang, H.-P. Xiao, Y.-Z. Li, Y. Song and Y.-Z. You, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 7648; (*b*) J. H. Lim, Y. S. You, H. S. Yoo, J. H. Yoon, J. I. Kim, E. K. Koh and C. S. Hong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 10578.