CrystEngComm

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: CrystEngComm, 2014, ¹⁶, 8344

Sandwich-like octacyanometalate-based cadmium assemblies with the 4,4′-dipyridyl sulfide ligand†

Dan Yang,^a Hu Zhou, ^{bc} Ai-Hua Yuan^{*a} and Yi-Zhi Li^d

Received 8th May 2014, Accepted 16th July 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4ce00965g

www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

The self-assembly of octacyanotungstate, cadmium ions, and 4,4′ dipyridyl sulfide ligands has isolated the first octacyanometalatebased cadmium compounds, which exhibit novel sandwich-like coordination networks. The effect of solvent and temperature on the formation of products was discussed.

Advances in the field of porous coordination frameworks have been realized in the past few decades because of their robust adsorption/desorption abilities for guest molecules.[1](#page-3-0) Among them, cyanide-bridged assemblies such as Hofmanntype clathrates and Prussian blue analogues with lower framework densities and larger specific surface areas have received particular attention, which can be ascribed to high thermal stabilities and easy-to-manipulate host frameworks with diverse linkers.²⁻⁸

Recently, octacyanometalate anions $[M(CN)_8]^{n-}$ $(M = Mo, W;$ $n = 3, 4$) have been intensively used as tectons in crystal engineering due to the fact that their geometries vary between three ideal configurations: square antiprism, dodecahedron, and bicapped trigonal prism.^{9,10} However, relatively few studies have reported their porous applications, 1^{1-13} despite the first examples of octacyanometalate-based assemblies $M_2[Mo(CN)_8] \cdot nH_2O$ (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn; $n = 2-9$) having been documented as far back as 1973 ,^{[14](#page-3-0)} in comparison to the better known Hofmann-type clathrates and Prussian blue analogues. In fact, the syntheses and characterization of octacyanometalate-based cadmium assemblies have not been reported to date. In addition, crystallization is a difficult process and the growth of molecular motifs depends on the combination of many factors, such as reaction solvents, temperature, $etc.$ ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ The 4,4'-dipyridyl sulfide (dps) ligand contains a delocalized π system and can bridge two or more remote metal centers to form multi-dimensional structures.^{19,20} In the present contribution, we chose ${\rm [W(CN)_8]}^{3-}$ as the precursor to react with Cd^{2+} ions and dps ligands in different solvents or at different reaction temperatures (Scheme 1), isolating four cyanide-bridged bimetallic assemblies (1, 1′, 2, and 3) with novel sandwich-like structures.‡ Among them, compounds 1 and 3 were obtained under the same experimental conditions except for the solvent system, while compounds 1 and 2 were isolated using an identical synthetic method except for the reaction temperature. Furthermore, compound 1′ was prepared upon the single crystal of compound 1 in situ heated to 340 K in an ambient atmosphere on a single-crystal diffractometer. The above results indicate that the temperature and reaction solvents have an obvious impact on the formation of final products.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that compounds 1, 2, and 3 have the same sandwich-like structure except for the crystallized solvents involved.§ Here, only the crystal structure of compound 1 is described in detail. Compound 1 crystallized in the monoclinic space group C2/c. The asymmetric unit consists of one $\left[Cd(H_2O)_2(dps)\right]^{2+}$ cation, two $[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{H_2O})(\mathrm{dps})_2]^{2^+}$ cations, two $[\mathrm{W(CN)}_8]^{3^-}$ anions, and guest acetonitrile and water molecules (Fig. 1). The S3 atom in the structure exhibits the crystallographically imposed twofold symmetry, while the Cd2 atom lies on an inversion centre.

The eight-coordinated $[W(CN)_8]^{3-}$ unit adopts a slightly distorted square antiprism typical for octacyanotungstates. $21-25$ Two cyanide groups are bridged to adjacent $Cd(n)$ atoms in a cis fashion and the others are terminal. The W1–C bond distances range from 2.131(5) to 2.187(4) Å, and the W1–CN angles remain almost linear with a maximum deviation of 5.3° from linearity. There are two crystallographically independent $Cd(n)$ centers (Cd1 and Cd2). The Cd1 atom exhibits a six-coordinated octahedral ${CdN₅O}$ environment with one

 a School of Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang 212003, PR China. E-mail: aihua.yuan@just.edu.cn ^b School of Material Science and Engineering, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang 212003, PR China

^c SiYang Diesel Engine Manufacturing Co., Ltd, Zhenjiang 212003, PR China ^d School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, PR China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Powder XRD patterns and IR spectra of compounds 1, 2, and 3. ORTEP diagrams of compounds 2 and 3. CCDC 1001207–1001210. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c4ce00965g

Scheme 1 Reaction equations of four compounds.

Fig. 1 ORTEP diagram of compound 1 with thermal ellipsoids at the 30% probability level. All hydrogen atoms, crystallized acetonitrile and water molecules were omitted for clarity. Symmetry codes: (i) x, -y, z + 1/2; (ii) x, -y + 1, z - 1/2; (iii) -x, -y, -z; (iv) x, -y + 1, z + 1/2; (v) x, -y, z - 1/2; (vi) −x, y, −z + 1/2.

cyano-nitrogen atom, four nitrogen atoms from dps ligands, and one water molecule. The Cd1–O and average Cd1–N bond lengths are 2.314(3) and 2.354 Å, respectively. Opposite to the linear W1–CN bonds, the Cd1–NC bond is significantly bent with an angle of $145.2(4)$ °. The geometrical data for the Cd–O and Cd–N bonds conform to those reported for the monomeric Cd (n) -dps compounds.^{26,27}

As shown in Fig. 2, the Cd1 centers are linked alternatively by dps ligands on the bc plane to form a waved grid-type $[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{H_2O})(\mathrm{dps})_2]^{2+}$ layer with diagonal distances of 12.005 and 19.003 Å. The Cd2 atom also adopts a six-coordinated octahedral ${CdN_4O_2}$ environment with two cyano-nitrogen atoms, two nitrogen atoms from dps ligands, and two water molecules. The Cd2–O and average Cd2–N bond lengths are 2.326(3) and 2.314 Å, respectively, while the Cd2–NC bonds are obviously bent with an angle of 158.2(4)°. The Cd2 atoms are connected alternatively by dps ligands along the c axis to generate a zigzag $[Cd(H₂O)₂(dps)]²⁺$ chain (Fig. 3).

As a result, the $[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{H_2O})(\mathrm{dps})_2]^{2+}$ layers and $[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{H_2O})_2(\mathrm{dps})]^{2+}$ chains are further connected by two cis cyanide groups of ${\rm [W(CN)_8]^{3-}}$ to generate a sandwich-like layer on the bc plane with a thickness of about 21.439 Å ($S\cdots S$ distance), in which the two face-to-face $[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{dps})_{2}]^{2+}$ sheets act as slices of bread, while the $[Cd(H₂O)₂(dps)]²⁺$ chains and guest molecules act as fillings (Fig. 4). Similar sandwich-like structures have also been observed in other compounds.²⁸⁻³⁰

Notably, to the best of our knowledge, compounds 1–3 represent the first examples of octacyanometalate-based assemblies with a sandwich-like structure and also the first

Fig. 2 Waved grid-type $[Cd(H₂O)(dps)₂]²⁺$ layer viewed from the (a) a and (b) c axes for compound 1. All hydrogen atoms and coordinated water molecules were omitted for clarity.

Fig. 3 Zigzag $[Cd(H₂O)₂(dps)]²⁺$ chain viewed from the (a) a and (b) c axes for compound 1; yellow balls: W, green balls: Cd, thin lines: dps and bridged cyanide ligands; all hydrogen atoms, coordinated water molecules and terminal cyanide ligands were omitted for clarity.

Fig. 4 Sandwich-like structure of compound 1; yellow balls: W, green balls: Cd, thin lines: dps and bridged cyanide ligands; all hydrogen atoms, coordinated and crystallized solvents, and terminal cyanide groups were omitted for clarity.

octacyanometalate-based cadmium compounds characterized structurally to date.

Interestingly, both the formula and the overall structural symmetry of compound 1 changed when the same single crystal was heated slowly to 340 K under an ambient atmosphere. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction results of compound 1 collected at 340 K showed that the crystal of compound 1' belongs to the monoclinic $P2₁/c$ space group, different from $C2/c$ at 100 K for the same single crystal. The coordinated and uncoordinated solvents in the structure of compound 1′ also changed. As shown in Fig. 5, the asymmetric unit of compound 1' consists of one $\text{[Cd}(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2(\text{dps})]^2$ ⁺ cation, two $[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{H_2O})(\mathrm{dps})_2]^{2^+}$ cations, and three crystallized water molecules.

There are three crystallographically independent $Cd(n)$ centers (Cd1, Cd2, and Cd3) in compound 1′. The significant difference between structures of compounds 1 and 1′ is the coordination geometry of the Cd2 atom. The Cd2 center in compound 1' adopts an octahedral ${Cd2N_6}$ environment with two cyano-nitrogen atoms, two nitrogen atoms from dps ligands, and two acetonitrile molecules. Obviously, the two water molecules coordinated to the Cd2 atom in compound 1 have been substituted by two acetonitriles in compound 1' after the heat treatment. Recent studies on $[M(CN)_6]$ -, $[M(CN)_7]$ - or $[M(CN)_8]$ -based coordination networks revealed

Fig. 5 ORTEP diagram of compound 1' with thermal ellipsoids at the 30% probability level. All hydrogen atoms and crystallized water molecules were omitted for clarity. Symmetry codes: (i) x, −y + 1/2, z + 1/2; (ii) x, −y − 1/2, z + 1/2; (iii) x, −y + 1/2, z − 1/2; (iv) x, −y + 3/2, $z - 1/2$; (v) $x, -y - 1/2, z - 1/2$; (vi) $x, -y + 3/2, z + 1/2$.

that hydration–dehydration processes may lead to significant structural modifications and then drastic changes in magnetic properties.31–³⁵ In our case, however, the variety of solvents does not result in an obvious change of the overall network resulting from WCN \rightarrow Cd connections. The corrugated grid-type sheet of compound 1′ is formed on the bc plane in a similar way to compound 1. Similar to compounds 1–3, compound 1′ also exhibited a sandwich-like structure. It should be noted that the thickness of the resulting sandwichlike layer of compound 1′ was 21.160 Å (S⋯S distance), slightly less than 21.439 Å observed in compound 1. In fact, this exchange of solvent molecules has caused structural adaptation, which is reflected by the difference in the cell parameters. The cell volume of compound 1′ was reduced by ca. 1.5% compared with that of compound 1 with a slight shrinking along the a axis, which can be reasonably ascribed to the reduction in the space occupied by solvent molecules. Different from compound 1, the above phase transformation process was not observed in compounds 2 and 3.

In summary, the first octacyanometalate-based cadmium compounds were prepared and characterized structurally using dps as bridging ligands. The temperature has an obvious impact on the overall structural symmetry, while the novel sandwich-like coordination network was not tuned by reaction solvents. Further research along this line is in progress in our lab.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Natural Science Foundation of China (51072072, 51102119, and 51272095), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK2011518), and the Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province.

Notes and references

‡ Syntheses of compounds 1–3: Single crystals of compounds 1 and 2 were prepared at 35 °C and 5 °C, respectively, by slow diffusion of an acetonitrile– water (v: v = 1:1) solution (3 mL) containing $C dSO_4.8/3H_2O$ (0.10 mmol) and dps (0.10 mmol) into an acetonitrile–water (v: v = 1:1) solution (20 mL) of $[HN(n-C_4H_9)_3]_3[W(CN)_8]$ (0.05 mmol). Pale yellow rod-shaped crystals were obtained after about 2 weeks. IR for compound 1: $v_{\text{C}} = N = 2149, 2143, 2124,$ 2096 cm⁻¹; IR for compound 2: $v_{\text{C}≡N}$ = 2149, 2145, 2124, 2097 cm⁻¹. Substituting ethanol–water (v: v = 2: 1) for acetonitrile–water (v: v = 1: 1) in the synthesis procedure of compound 1 resulted in the formation of pale yellow rod-shaped crystals of compound 3. IR: $v_{\text{C}} = 2143, 2105, 2099 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

§ Crystal data for 1: $C_{70}H_{62}Cd_3N_{28}O_8S_5W_2$, $M_r = 2288.68$, monoclinic, space group $C2/c$, $a = 38.4736(12)$, $b = 12.0047(10)$, $c = 19.0031(16)$ Å, $\alpha = 90.00^{\circ}$, $\beta =$ 104.334(3)°, γ = 90.00°, $V = 8503.6(10)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.788$ g cm⁻³, R_1 (w R_2) = 0.0461 (0.1163) and $S = 1.073$ for 9722 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$. Crystal data for 1': $C_{70}H_{56}Cd_{3}N_{28}O_{5}S_{5}W_{2}$, M_{r} = 2234.63, monoclinic, space group $P2_{1}/c$, a = 38.0659(11), $b = 11.9553(12)$, $c = 18.9714(10)$ Å, $\alpha = 90.00^{\circ}$, $\beta = 103.9361(12)^{\circ}$, $\gamma =$ 90.00°, $V = 8379.5(10)$ \mathring{A}^3 , $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.771$ g cm⁻³, R_1 (w R_2) = 0.0409 (0.1001) and $S = 1.017$ for 16359 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$. Crystal data for 2: $C_{66}H_{56}Cd_3N_{26}O_8S_5W_2$, $M_r = 2206.57$, monoclinic, space group C_2/c , $a = 37.855(5)$, $b = 11.8053(15), c = 18.885(2)$ Å, $\alpha = 90.00^{\circ}, \beta = 104.534(2)^{\circ}, \gamma = 90.00^{\circ}, V =$ 8169.3(18) Å³, Z = 4, D_c = 1.794 g cm⁻³, R₁ (wR₂) = 0.0389 (0.0869) and S = 1.071 for 7656 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$. Crystal data for 3: C₇₀H₆₀Cd₃N₂₆O₆S₅W₂, M_r = 2226.64, monoclinic, space group $C2/c$, $a = 37.571(5)$, $b = 11.9361(15)$, $c =$ 19.196(2) Å, α = 90.00°, β = 104.686(2)°, γ = 90.00°, V = 8327.4(18) Å³, Z = 4, D_c = 1.776 g cm⁻³, R_1 (w R_2) = 0.0259 (0.0622) and S = 1.044 for 7815 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$. CCDC numbers: 1001207(1), 1001208(1'), 1001209(2), and 1001210(3).

- 1 M. L. Foo, R. Matsuda and S. Kitagawa, Chem. Mater., 2014, 26, 310–322.
- 2 J. T. Culp, C. Madden, K. Kauffman and F. Shi, Inorg. Chem., 2013, 52, 4205–4216.
- 3 J. T. Culp, S. Natesakhawat, M. R. Smith, E. Bittner, C. Matranga and B. Bockrath, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 7079–7083.
- 4 Y. Li, Y. Liu, Y. T. Wang, Y. H. Leng, L. Xie and X. G. Li, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2007, 32, 3411–3415.
- 5 R. K. Motkuri, P. K. Thallapally, B. P. McGrail and S. B. Ghorishi, CrystEngComm, 2010, 12, 4003–4006.
- 6 C. P. Krap, J. Balmaseda, B. Zamora and E. Reguera, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2010, 35, 10381–10386.
- 7 S. S. Kaye and J. R. Long, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 6506–6507.
- 8 K. W. Chapman, P. D. Southon, C. L. Weeks and C. J. Kepert, Chem. Commun., 2005, 3322–3324.
- 9 B. Nowicka, T. Korzeniak, O. Stefańczyk, D. Pinkowicz, S. Chorąży, R. Podgajny and B. Sieklucka, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2012, 256, 1946–1971.
- 10 B. Sieklucka, R. Podgajny, T. Korzeniak, B. Nowicka, D. Pinkowicz and M. Kozieł, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2011, 305–326.
- 11 A. H. Yuan, H. Zhou, G. W. Diao, P. D. Southon, C. J. Kepert and L. Liu, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2014, 39, 884–889.
- 12 W. Dong, Y. Q. Sun, L. N. Zhu, D. Z. Liao, Z. H. Jiang, S. P. Yan and P. Cheng, New J. Chem., 2003, 27, 1760–1764.
- 13 S. Willemin, J. Larionova, R. Clérac, B. Donnadieu, B. Henner, X. F. Le Goff and C. Guérin, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2003, 1866–1872.
- 14 G. F. McKnight and G. P. Haight, Inorg. Chem., 1973, 12, 3007–3008.
- 15 A. Santra and P. K. Bharadwaj, Cryst. Growth Des., 2014, 14, 1476–1485.
- 16 L. Wen, P. Cheng and W. B. Lin, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 2846–2848.
- 17 M. O. Blunt, J. Adisoejoso, K. Tahara, K. Katayama, M. Van der Auweraer, Y. Tobe and S. D. Feyter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 12068–12075.
- 18 P. Mahata, M. Prabu and S. Natarajan, Cryst. Growth Des., 2009, 9, 3683–3691.
- 19 B. C. Tzeng, H. T. Yeh, T. Y. Chang and G. H. Lee, Cryst. Growth Des., 2009, 9, 2552–2555.
- 20 J. F. Lu, Q. F. Xu, Q. X. Zhou, Z. L. Wang, J. M. Lu, X. W. Xia, L. H. Wang and Y. Zhang, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2009, 362, 3401–3406.
- 21 J. Wang, Y. L. Xu, H. B. Zhou, H. S. Wang, X. J. Song, Y. Song and X. Z. You, Dalton Trans., 2012, 39, 3489–3494.
- 22 A. H. Yuan, S. Y. Qian, W. Y. Liu, H. Zhou and Y. Song, Dalton Trans., 2011, 40, 5302–5306.
- 23 H. H. Zhao, M. Shatruk, A. V. Prosvirin and K. R. Dunbar, Chem. – Eur. J., 2007, 13, 6573–6589.
- 24 J. H. Yoon, J. H. Lim, S. W. Choi, H. C. Kim and C. S. Hong, Inorg. Chem., 2007, 46, 1529–1531.
- 25 J. M. Herrera, A. Bleuzen, Y. Dromzée, M. Julve, F. Lloret and M. Verdaguer, Inorg. Chem., 2003, 42, 7052–7059.
- 26 L. Croitor, E. B. Coropceanu, A. V. Siminel, O. Kulikova, V. I. Zelentsov, T. Datsko and M. S. Fonari, CrystEngComm, 2012, 14, 3750–3758.
- 27 Z. X. Du, J. X. Li and L. Y. Wang, J. Coord. Chem., 2009, 62, 2411–2420.
- 28 D. P. Zhang, Z. D. Zhao and X. Chen, Asian J. Chem., 2013, 25, 3509–3510.
- 29 C. Z. Wang, N. Li, X. H. Zhang, L. Ji and Q. S. Li, Dalton Trans., 2011, 40, 6922–6928.
- 30 H. L. Wang, D. P. Zhang, Z. H. Ni, X. Y. Li, L. J. Tian and J. Z. Jiang, Inorg. Chem., 2009, 48, 5946–5956.
- 31 W. Kaneko, M. Ohba and S. Kitagawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 13706–13712.
- 32 J. Milon, M. Daniel, A. Kaiba, P. Guionneau, S. Brandès and J. P. Sutter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 13872–13878.
- 33 B. Nowicka, M. Rams, K. Stadnicka and B. Sieklucka, Inorg. Chem., 2007, 46, 8123–8125.
- 34 D. Pinkowicz, R. Podgajny, M. Bałanda, M. Makarewicz, B. Gaweł, W. Łasocha and B. Sieklucka, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 9735–9747.
- 35 D. Pinkowicz, R. Podgajny, B. Gaweł, W. Nitek, W. Łasocha, M. Oszajca, M. Czapla, M. Makarewicz, M. Bałanda and B. Sieklucka, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 3973–3977.